

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 105 646

EA 007 095

AUTHOR Matson, William
TITLE A Goal-Based Computerized System for Evaluating
School System Support Services.
PUB DATE Apr 75
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
American Educational Research Association (60th,
Washington, D.C., March 31-April 4, 1975); Not
available in hard copy due to marginal legibility of
original document

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC Not Available from EDRS. PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS Administrative Personnel; *Ancillary Services;
*Computer Programs; Data Bases; Decision Making;
Educational Administration; Elementary Secondary
Education; Instructional Staff; Management by
Objectives; *Management Systems; Objectives; Program
Budgeting; Program Planning; Questionnaires

ABSTRACT

The Portland Public Schools have developed a model to evaluate support services of the system. Services rendered are defined by goal statements and evaluated by recipients of the services. A computer-based system maintains the service goals of each support unit, selects goals, prepares an appropriate questionnaire for each of 66 different groups receiving services, processes questionnaire data, and generates reports evaluating the services. Reports will be submitted to each support unit office, to each office next in responsibility, and to the superintendent for use in departmental review of services and to assist in decision-making.
(Author)

ED105646

Session 4.17
A...

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

A Goal-Based Computerized System for
Evaluating School System Support Services

William Matson, Portland Public Schools
Fred Forster, Portland Public Schools

A Goal-Based Computerized System
for Evaluating School System
Support Services

William W. Matson
Portland Public Schools
631 N. E. Clackamas Street
Portland, OR 97208

William Matson, Portland Public Schools
Fred Forster, Portland Public Schools

The Portland Public Schools are committed to and have developed a comprehensive planning program budgeting systems approach to managing the resources of the school district. An important component of the PPS system has been the identification of support goals for each of the departments in the central administrative office. Each department was asked to identify each support service which it provided and to indicate the school personnel who are recipients of the service. As might be expected, there were discrepancies and inconsistencies in the initial statements of the support goals from department to department. The refinement of these original statements required extensive revision over a period of months based on continuous interaction with each of the administrative departments.

When the support goals were finalized, a detailed plan was developed for the project detailing the development of a computer-based system for maintaining the goals, performing necessary updates and corrections, preparing evaluative questionnaire forms, processing questionnaire data, and preparing necessary summary reports.

Once the support goals and recipient groups had been identified, each goal was assigned a unique identification number based on the administrative office providing the service. The support goal statements and related information concerning recipient groups were loaded into a flexible tape file for easy updating and revision. Next a skeleton

program was developed for the selective retrieval of goals by recipient groups. This program was used to generate experimental listings of the support goals identified specifically for each of the sixty-six recipient groups as well as those support goals which spanned several recipient groups.

Support goals may refer to all administrators, or to all central office administrators, or to all principals, to music teachers, to all teachers, to all school personnel, etc. Thus, separate questionnaires were developed for each recipient group which included goals unique to that group as well as to the super-groups of which it was a member. The preliminary computer listings were analyzed to determine the number of unique goals applying to each recipient group, and to the broader classifications to which it belonged. This analysis, as would be expected, demonstrated a relative increase in concentration of goals with movement up the line administrative structure of the district. The Superintendent topped all recipients with a total of 24 direct support goals and 91 indirect support goals directed toward his office.

To prevent a recipient from responding to too many support goals and not providing the opportunity for him to respond to support goals which he might consider important, a sampling plan was worked out which included all the support goals directly addressed to that recipient group and a sample of the support goals directed to the larger classifications to which that group belonged. A common set of questions was then developed which applied to each support goal. The recipient was

asked to judge the clarity of the goal, to respond whether the service was received, to indicate the degree to which the service was/or would be of use, and to the quality and sufficiency of the service if received.

The next phase involved the development of a computer system, based on the skeleton program which printed out the appropriate questionnaire for each recipient group in a form reproducible for printing purposes. Of equal importance, the system produced an internal file detailing the precise location of each support goal in each questionnaire produced and assigned each questionnaire a code number keyed to this internal file. In this way, the information from each questionnaire can be key-punched according to a standard format with the assurance that the computer system will perform the tedious and exacting task of identifying the precise support goal and recipient group to which each questionnaire response refers. This is particularly important in view of the many alternate forms which were needed for the higher level administrative groups.

Through use of the internal key file, summary reports are computer generated and printed and provided to each support department. Each support department receives a mean rating on the usefulness, quality, and sufficiency of its services as described in each of the departments service goals. Means are given on ratings by the teachers, by the administrators, and by the classified employees. For use as a yardstick, the composite mean rating by each of the above groups on all the goals

of all the support departments is reported.

In another report the above three service recipient groups are further sub-grouped as elementary teachers, high school teachers, elementary principals, high school principals, administrators of area offices, Superintendent's staff, central office administrators, other administrators, and classified employees. In this report the percent of respondents at each point on the scale is given. Department reports are also submitted to each office to which a department reports and to the Superintendent.

It is anticipated to extend this system to evaluate services provided by the support units of each of the three decentralized area administrative offices. Evaluation of central office services with this system will be performed every other year.

Although nearly all clerical functions of the evaluator have been relegated to computer systems, the project has been based on continuous "people" feedback to produce a climate in which results of the survey can be internalized to improve performance as well as assist in decision making.

PAYROLL
JUNE 1974 CENTRAL OFFICE SERVICES EVALUATION REPORT A

FIRST NUMERAL LISTED--MEAN RATING FOR GROUP ON THE SPECIFIED GOAL.
SECOND NUMERAL LISTED IN ()--MEAN RATING BY THE GROUP FOR ALL CENTRAL SERVICE GOALS

SERVICE GOAL	GROUP RESPONDING AND NUMBER IN GROUP	USFULNESS OF SERVICE PROVIDED	QUALITY OF SERVICE RECEIVED	FREQUENCY OR AMOUNT OF SERVICE RECEIVED	USER'S ATTITUDE TOWARD SERVICE	PERCENTAGE OF GROUP SATISFIED	PERCENTAGE OF GROUP DISSATISFIED	PERCENTAGE OF GROUP NOT RATED
TO PROVIDE ALL SCHOOL PERSONNEL TIMELY AND ACCURATE PAYMENT OF SALARIES AS ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION. (64491)	TEACHERS (475) ADMINISTRATORS (113) ALL GROUPS (677)	2.8 (2.6) 2.9 (2.5) 2.9 (2.6)	3.4 (2.8) 3.5 (2.7) 3.6 (2.9)	3.2 (2.8) 3.5 (2.7) 3.7 (2.8)	1.2 1.3 1.4	3.2 (2.8) 3.5 (2.7) 3.7 (2.8)	0.0 (2.1) 0.0 (2.2) 0.0 (2.3)	3% 0% 0%
TO PROVIDE ADVICE AND INFORMATION TO EMPLOYEES WITH REGARD TO SALARIES, TAXATION, RETIREMENT PLANS, HOSPITAL INSURANCE, BENEFITS AND OTHER PAYROLL RELATED FUNCTIONS. (54212)	TEACHERS (465) ADMINISTRATORS (117) ALL GROUPS (671)	2.7 (2.6) 2.7 (2.6) 2.7 (2.5)	3.1 (2.8) 2.9 (2.7) 3.1 (2.9)	3.0 (2.8) 2.9 (2.7) 3.0 ()	2.7 (2.6) 2.9 (2.7) 3.0 ()	2.7 (2.6) 2.9 (2.7) 3.0 ()	2.7 (2.3) 2.5 (2.2) 2.7 (2.3)	5% 0% 0%
TO MAINTAIN THE PAYROLL COMPUTED BASED SYSTEM FOR THE GENERATION OF MANAGEMENT STATISTICS AND EMPLOYEE ANALYSES FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF. (64493)	ADMINISTRATORS (10)	2.7 (2.6)	3.0 (2.9)	2.7 (2.8)	2.7 (2.8)	2.7 (2.8)	0.0 (2.3)	0%

SERVICE GOAL	RESPONDING AND NUMBER IN GROUP	DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSE PERCENTS AND MEAN RATING FOR EACH USEFULNESS OF SERVICE RECEIVED				MEAN RATING	NO. SOME/MUCH, USE . . .							
		1	2	3	4									
TO PROVIDE ADVICE AND INFORMATION TO EMPLOYEES WITH REGARD TO SALARIES, TAXATION, RETIREMENT PLANS, HOSPITAL INSURANCE, ANNUITIES AND OTHER PAYROLL RELATED FUNCTIONS. (684032)	(N)	0%	29%	71%		2.7	0%	29%	71%	3.0	0%	33%	67%	2.7 (3)
	(N)	0%	33%	67%		2.7	0%	23%	77%	2.9	0%	27%	73%	2.6 (9)
	(204)	0%	23%	77%		2.8	0%	27%	73%	3.0	0%	27%	73%	2.4 (7)
	(39)	0%	30%	70%		2.7	0%	22%	78%	3.0	0%	28%	72%	
	(5)	0%	25%	75%		2.8	0%	0%	100%	3.3	0%	0%	100%	2.3 (1)
	(12)	0%	28%	72%		2.7	0%	11%	89%	3.1	0%	17%	83%	2.3 (1)
	(19)	0%	55%	45%		2.5	0%	18%	82%	3.2	0%	27%	73%	2.3 (1)
	(45)	0%	24%	76%		2.8	0%	10%	90%	3.2	0%	20%	80%	3.0 (2)
TO MAINTAIN THE PAYROLL COMPUTER BASED SYSTEM FOR THE GENERATION OF MANAGEMENT STATISTICS AND EMPLOYEE ANALYSES FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF. (684033)	(N)	0%	67%	33%		2.3	0%	0%	100%	3.0	0%	33%	67%	2.7
	(3)	0%	30%	70%		2.7	0%	10%	90%	3.0	0%	33%	67%	2.7
	(10)	0%	23%	77%		2.8	0%	27%	73%	3.0	0%	27%	73%	2.4 (7)